

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,018	11/26/2001	Jun Tanaka	500.39756CX1	1254
20457	7590 08/26/2003			
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209-9889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10/		
Office Action Summary		09/992,018	TANAKA ET AL.	(4)		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Nguyen	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by the second section apported by the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this commonED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	June 2003 .				
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters		merits is		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	ZA parto quajio, 1000 0.2.	1, 100 0.0.210.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3,4,7,8,10 and 13-20 is/are pending	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,10 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🗌 🤄	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) \square objected to by the $ extbf{B}$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		• •			
11)[[The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)[disapproved by the Ex	caminer.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age		
		·		anlication)		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti) \square The translation of the foreign language pro			opiication).		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	, ·				
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). nal Patent Application (PTO-1			
		, _		_		

Application/Control Number: 09/992,018

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment dated 06/09/2003 has been received and entered.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 10 and 13-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-6, 9 and 11-12 of copending Application No. 09/797,720, as stated in the previous office action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It should be noted that a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Therefore, if Applicant wishes to overcome the double patenting rejection, then a terminal disclaimer will need to filed with the response to this office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,018

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 08/22/2003

ROBERT H. KIM SUPERKISOEM FAR AM MAMMER TECHRIOLOR III 100